

REMARKS

This response follows the advisory action of July 5 and telephone interviews with Examiner Peselev on July 12 and 15. The Examiner is thanked for her courtesy in discussing the application with the undersigned on those occasions and for her suggestion that the compound be defined in claim 1 as being "purified" so as to distinguish it from compounds as found in nature.

The specification has been amended to complete the references to related applications.

Claim 1 has been amended better to define the distinction between the claimed subject matter and products of nature.

Claims 14 and 15 have been cancelled. Other amendments have been made to meet the examiner's objections and rejections. It is, however, submitted that no amendment is required to claim 8 since the abbreviation "etc" was deleted in response to the previous action.

Applicant submits that the present application is in condition for allowance and favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted



John Richards

c/o Ladas & Parry

26 West 61st Street

New York, New York 10023

Reg. No. 31, 053 (212-708-1915)